THE DOWNLOAD


DIGITALEUROPE's concise policy brief on the hottest tech topics.

In this edition:

THE EU ECONOMIC SECURITY STRATEGY

What do we think?

Europe must have access to and be a creator of critical technologies. Right now, Europe has very few standard-setting tech companies left:



of global tech companies



of global Unicorns

This is a common problem we must address now.

We therefore need an approach to economic security founded on the competitiveness of Europe's digital industries, working with likeminded international partners as much as possible.

Europe should avoid the temptation to reach for too many protective tools and look instead to strengthen our greatest assets – our people and our single market. Innovation and incentives are needed to ensure technology companies stay and scale in Europe.

Each critical technology needs a tailored analysis on EU strengths and weaknesses. A one-size-fits-all approach cannot be the solution when addressing technologies with different opportunities & risks, and at different stages of development. The private sector must play an important role: industry leaders have the know-how and innovation potential.

What is economic security?

Against a backdrop of 'derisking' put forward by President Von Der Leyen and the G7, the Commission released its <u>European Economic Security Strategy</u> (EESS)¹. It identified four technology areas – AI, advanced semiconductors, quantum and biotechnology – that are critical to our security.









According to the European Commission, economic security "focuses on minimising risks ... in the context of increased geopolitical tensions and accelerated technological shifts, while preserving maximum levels of economic openness and dynamism".

The European Commission proposed a 3-P approach:

- ▶ Promoting the EU's economic base and competitiveness;
- Protecting against risks; and
- ▶ Partnering with the broadest possible range of countries to address shared concerns & interests.



Going deeper

Common market

As we outlined in our recent manifesto, we should prioritise removing barriers to help companies scale in Europe. Regulation must play a role, but overregulation will harm our chances at developing technology here – innovators will simply move elsewhere. For the future, we caution against pre-emptive regulation of technologies whose potential is not yet fully understood, and highlight the need to tackle the overlap with other sectoral regulations. We should lean on existing tools such as the 'Threat of Injury' and 'Semiconductor Early-Warning Mechanisms' alongside the EU's Single Market Emergency Instrument.

Competences

Address the shortage of cyber experts with public-private cyber campuses and upskilling programmes. Education on technology must begin at an early age, and we must make it attractive for highly skilled tech workers to come and work (or stay) in the EU.

Capital

Given the private investment gap in many critical technology areas, targeted funding for critical technologies must be a central pillar of the Strategy. US investors injected \$170 bn into start-ups in 2023², yet only 6% of venture capital in Al went to Europe³. We call for a 25% target across all EU funds to be spent on digital, aligned with the critical technologies identified.



of Global Venture Capital in Al

Partnerships

Foster digital partnerships starting with the US, India, Japan, South Korea, Singapore, and Canada, focusing on standards for Al, security of connected devices, data interoperability, and carbon footprint measurement.

Another "P" – Public and Private Partnerships

We need platforms where senior industry experts can work with governments to develop tailored critical technology strategies. Economic diplomacy is a part of economic security – European diplomats should be actively supporting companies in key markets.

Protect

Recent measures on inbound and outbound investments and export controls should be used sparingly. These tools can play a role, but they should not be the centre of the approach. When applied, we must make sure they are aligned with likeminded partners to avoid adding unnecessary complications for companies.

² Pitchbook (2024)

³CEPs (2023) Forge Ahead or Fall Behind



Case studies



Semiconductors

The global semiconductor shortage during and after the COVID crisis exposed our overreliance on certain countries and the dangers of complacency when it comes to critical technologies.

This had an economic impact – costing EU carmakers around €100 billion in 2021/22, but also an impact on people's lives: 50% of lifesaving medical devices contain semiconductors⁴.

However, research has shown that bringing production back to Europe would cost one trillion dollars⁵. The EU also has a strong place in the supply chain – e.g., lithographic machines and research.

For semiconductors, as we advocated in the EU's Chips Act, the strategy should certainly promote European production, but – in the absence of large amounts of EU money – ensure that it has a diverse and like-minded group of partners in the supply chain.



Artificial intelligence

It is estimated that AI has the potential to deliver additional total economic activity of approximately \$13 trillion by 2030⁶. However, only 3% of global AI unicorns are based in the EU. Compared to semiconductors, AI technology is young and many use cases are yet to be discovered. Europe has the potential to lead on AI, and it cannot afford to miss out on the economic growth and job prospects it offers.

The EU has led the way with the AI Act. However, this comes with costs that could damage our economic potential – one estimate in the EU's impact assessment put compliance for an SME of 50 people at €300,000.

With regards to AI, the Economic Security Strategy should focus largely on the **promote** pillar. Europe is lagging behind on AI investments compared to the US and China. After the AI Act comes into force, companies in those countries will also face less red tape. Therefore, EU AI innovators will need significant financial and other support.

Read more



- ► Europe 2030: A Digital Powerhouse
- ▶ The Digital Frontline: 15 actions to boost Europe's Digital Resilience
- ▶ <u>Becoming Tech Allies: 24 Targets for the EU-US Trade & Technology Council</u> by 2024

⁴https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/blog/health-care-blog/2022/how-is-the-semiconductor-shortage-affecting-medtech.html

⁵https://www.semiconductors.org/strengthening-the-global-semiconductor-supply-chain-in-an-uncertain-era/

⁶https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/metals-and-mining/our-insights/ai-the-next-frontier-of-performance-in-industrial-processing-plants

DIGITALEUROPE

DIGITALEUROPE is the leading trade association representing digitally transforming industries in Europe. We stand for a regulatory environment that enables European businesses and citizens to prosper from digital technologies.

We wish Europe to grow, attract, and sustain the world's best digital talents and technology companies. Together with our members, we shape the industry policy positions on all relevant legislative matters and contribute to the development and implementation of relevant EU policies, as well as international policies that have an impact on Europe's digital economy.

Our membership represents over 45,000 businesses who operate and invest in Europe. It includes 106 corporations which are global leaders in their field of activity, as well as 41 national trade associations from across Europe.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, PLEASE CONTACT:

Tsai-wei Chao-Muller

Director for Digital Trade Policy & International Affairs Tsai-wei.Chao@digitaleurope.org

Chris Ruff

Director for Political Outreach & Communications Chris.ruff@digitaleurope.org

Joël Gusch<u>ker</u>

Senior Manager for International Affairs & Trade Policy Joel.Guschker@digitaleurope.org



www.digitaleurope.org



@DIGITALEUROPE



@digitaleurope_org



DIGITALEUROPE



@DIGITALEUROPEvideo

